

Appl. No. 10/538,437  
Reply to Office Action of February 14, 2008

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This amendment supplements the earlier amendment arguments.

Based on the Examiner's remarks in the Advisory Action, additional explanation of the claimed invention is added to the claims to better specify the invention. The amendments are supported by the description on page 7, second paragraph.

A key feature of the present invention is to allow a UV-setting ink-jet ink to contain oxygen in a range of 0.1 to 2 ppm at 25°C as a polymerization inhibitor to inhibit polymerization. In contrast, Akahira teaches to eliminate oxygen from a water-based ink as far as possible, as explained in detail in the earlier amendment. This is a teaching away from the present invention requirement for oxygen to be present.

Therefore, we think that the basic concept of the present invention is quite different from Akahira and the effect of the present invention is unexpected from the teaching of Akahira. Determining the present invention requirements from Akahira to meet the present invention requirements is therefore not obvious.


Appl. No. 10/538,437  
Reply to Office Action of February 14, 2008

It is therefore submitted that combining Akahira with the teaching of Tanabe cannot result in the present invention.

In view of the above, a favorable action is therefore respectfully requested.

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman  
& Chick, P.C.  
220 Fifth Ave., 16th Floor  
New York, NY 10001-7708  
Tel. No. (212) 319-4900  
Fax No.: (212) 319-5101  
MJC:sg

Respectfully submitted,



MARSHALL J. CHICK  
Reg. No. 26,853

Encs. Petition for One Month Extension of Time  
Form PTO-2038 in the amount of \$120  
RCE  
Form PTO-2038 in the amount of \$810